Using nDPI for Monitoring and Security

Luca Deri <deri@ntop.org> @lucaderi



FOSDEM 2021 - ntop.org

https://github.com/ntop/nDPI

Who am I

 ntop founder (http://www.ntop.org): company that develops open-source network security and visibility tools:



- ntopng: web-based traffic monitoring and security
- nDPI: deep packet inspection toolkit
- onScrub: software-based DDoS scrubber

∘n2n: peer-to-peer VPN

- Author of various open source software tools.
- •Lecturer at the CS Dept, University of Pisa, Italy.

Monitoring Requirements

 Network administrators need to monitor and <u>enforce</u> network policies hence:



- Limit the bandwidth of specific protocols (e.g. BitTorrent).
- Block malicious communications that might travel over encrypted traffic channels.
- Prioritise specific traffic protocols (e.g. WhatsApp/Skype/ Zoom) or cloud protocols.
- Traffic decryption is not an option: in particular because it is useless, limited to a few protocols (e.g. TLS) and also because it violates the users privacy.

What Do We Want to Accomplish?

- Fingerprint network traffic to detect if both the protocol (e.g. the certificate) has changed or its behaviour.
- Prevent specific traffic flows (e.g. unsafe TLS communications) to happen on our network.
- Provide metrics for measuring the nature of specific communications (e.g. HTTPS) while not being able to inspect the content.
- Identify malware in network communications.

What is Deep Packet Inspection?

- •Technique that inspects the packet payload.
- •Computationally intensive with respect to simple packet header analysis.
- •Concerns about privacy and confidentiality of inspected data.
- Encryption is becoming pervasive, thus challenging DPI techniques.
- •No false positives unless statistical methods or IP range/flow analysis are used by DPI tools.

Welcome to nDPI

- In 2012 we decided to develop our own GNU LGPL DPI toolkit (based on a unmaintained project named OpenDPI) in order to build an <u>opensource</u> DPI layer.
- Protocols supported exceed 240 and include:
 - P2P (Skype, BitTorrent)
 - Messaging (Viber, Whatsapp, Telegram, Facebook)
 - Multimedia (YouTube, Last.gm, iTunes)
 - Conferencing (Webex, CitrixOnLine)
 - Streaming (Zattoo, Icecast, Shoutcast, Netflix)
 - Business (VNC, RDP, Citrix, Webex)



nDPI in Cybersecurity

∘ nDPI:

- Analyses encrypted traffic to detect issues hidden but un-inspectable payload content.
- Extracts metadata from selected protocols (e.g. DNS, HTTP, TLS..) and matches it against known algorithms for detecting selected threats (e.g. DGA hosts, Domain Generated Algorithm).
- Associates a "risk" with specific flows to identify communications that are affected by security issues.



What is a Protocol in nDPI? [1/2]

- Each protocol is identified as <major>.<minor> protocol. Example:
 - DNS.Facebook

• QUIC.YouTube and QUIC.YouTubeUpload

- Caveat: Skype or Facebook are application protocols in the nDPI world but not for IETF.
- The first question people ask when they have to evaluate a DPI toolkit is: how many protocol do you support? This is not the right question.

What is a Protocol in nDPI? [2/2]

- •Today most protocols are HTTP/TLS-based.
- •nDPI includes support for string-based protocols detection:
 - DNS query name
 - HTTP Host/Server header fields
 - TLS/QUIC SNI (Server Name Indication)
- Example: NetFlix detection

{ "netflix.com", NULL, "NetFlix", NDPI PROTOCOL NETFLIX, NDPI PROTOCOL CATEGORY STREAMING, NDPI PROTOCOL FUN }, "netflix" TLD, "nflxext.com", NULL, "nflxext" TLD, "NetFlix", NDPI PROTOCOL NETFLIX, NDPI PROTOCOL CATEGORY STREAMING, NDPI PROTOCOL FUN }, "nflximg" TLD, "nflximg.com", NULL, NDPI_PROTOCOL_NETFLIX, NDPI_PROTOCOL_CATEGORY_STREAMING, NDPI_PROTOCOL_FUN }, "NetFlix", "nflximg.net", NULL, "nflximg" TLD, "NetFlix", NDPI_PROTOCOL_NETFLIX, NDPI_PROTOCOL_CATEGORY_STREAMING, NDPI_PROTOCOL_FUN }, "nflxvideo.net", NULL, "nflxvideo" TLD, "NetFlix", NDPI PROTOCOL NETFLIX, NDPI PROTOCOL CATEGORY STREAMING, NDPI PROTOCOL FUN }, { "nflxso.net", NULL, "nflxso" TLD, NDPI PROTOCOL NETFLIX, NDPI PROTOCOL CATEGORY STREAMING, NDPI PROTOCOL FUN }, "NetFlix",

Traffic Classification Lifecycle

- Based on traffic type (e.g. UDP traffic) dissectors are applied sequentially starting with the one that will most likely match the flow (e.g. for TCP/80 the HTTP dissector is tried first).
- Each flow maintains the state for non-matching dissectors in order to skip them in future iterations.
- Analysis lasts until a match is found or after too many attempts (8 packets is the upper-bound in our experience).

nDPI: Packet Processing Performance

nDPI Memory statistics:

nDPI Memory (once):	203.62 KB
Flow Memory (per flow):	2.01 KB
Actual Memory:	95.60 MB
Peak Memory:	95.60 MB
Setup Time:	1001 msec
Packet Processing Time:	813 msec

Traffic statistics:

Ethernet bytes: 1090890957 Discarded bytes: 247801 IP packets: 1482145 IP bytes: 1055319477 Unique flows: 36703 1338624 TCP Packets: UDP Packets: 143521 VLAN Packets: 0 MPLS Packets: 0 PPPoE Packets: \cap Fragmented Packets: 1092 Max Packet size: 1480 Packet Len < 64: 590730 Packet Len 64-128: 67824 66380 Packet Len 128-256: Packet Len 256-1024: 157623 Packet Len 1024-1500: 599588 Packet Len > 1500: 0 nDPI throughput: 1.82 M pps / 9.99 Gb/sec 04/Aug/2010 04:15:23 Analysis begin: Analysis end: 04/Aug/2010 18:31:30 Traffic throughput: 28.85 pps / 165.91 Kb/sec 51367.223 sec Traffic duration: Guessed flow protos: 0

(includes ethernet CRC/IFC/trailer)

of 1483237 packets total (avg pkt size 711 bytes)

Single Core (E3 1241v3)



Behaviour and Fingerprinting

- nDPI is not only about application recognition but also:
 - Traffic classification: is this TLS connection a HTTPS connection, a VPN, or something else?
 - Malware recognition: traffic bins (time and packet size)
 - Content enforcement: bytes entropy (measure how bytes are distributed)

Server Entropy (SCP)

PDF	PNG	TEXT
6,418	7,014	7,008



nDPI: Flow Risks

- HTTP suspicious user-agent
- HTTP numeric IP host contacted
- HTTP suspicious URL
- HTTP suspicious protocol header
- TLS connections not carrying HTTPS (e.g. a VPN over TLS)
- Suspicious DGA domain contacted
- Malformed packet
- SSH/SMB obsolete protocol or application version
- TLS suspicious ESNI usage
- Unsafe Protocol used
- Suspicious DNS traffic
- TLS with no SNI.

- XSS (Cross Site Scripting)
- SQL Injection
- Arbitrary Code Injection/Execution
- Binary/.exe application transfer (e.g. in HTTP)
- Known protocol on non standard port
- TLS self-signed certificate
- TLS obsolete version
- TLS weak cipher
- TLS certificate expired
- TLS certificate mismatch
- DNS suspicious traffic
- HTTP suspicious content

nDPI Encrypted Traffic Analysis

Behaviour

•\$./example/ndpiReader -J -i ./tests/pcap/ instagram.pcap -v 2 -f "port 49355"

TCP 192.168.2.17:49355 <-> 31.13.86.52:443 [byte dist mean: 125.398474][byte_dist_std: 67.665465][entropy: 0.997011] [total_entropy: 5609.185931][score: 1.0000][proto: 91.211/ TLS.Instagram][cat: SocialNetwork/6][456 pkts/33086 bytes <-> 910 pkts/1277296 bytes][Goodput ratio: 9.0/95.3][14.29 sec] [ALPN: http/1.1][TLS Supported Versions: TLSv1.3;TLSv1.3 (Fizz)][bytes ratio: -0.950 (Download)][IAT c2s/s2c min/avg/ max/stddev: 0/0 37.7/0.7 10107/274 546.6/11.8][Pkt Len c2s/s2c min/avg/max/stddev: 66/66 72.6/1403.6 657/1454 57.2/231.0] [TLSv1.3 (Fizz)][Client: scontent-mxp1-1.cdninstagram.com] [JA3C: 7a29c223fb122ec64d10f0a159e07996][JA3S: f4febc55ea12b31ae17cfb7e614afda8][Cipher: TLS AES_128_GCM_SHA256]



Detecting Malware

- •Clear-text
 - Signatures <- too many signatures, slow.
 - Behaviour <- nDPI (e.g. binary application transfer)
- •Encrypted traffic
 - Fingerprint and time/length bins (recognise encrypted traffic patterns)

Entropy (speculate about the content nature)



Catching Malware with Fingerprints

- •Some malware randomise the clientHello (and thus JA3C) trying to deceive security tools.
- Question: is this a good idea? No, because a monitoring tool will easily detect cases where one IP address features many JA3C fingerprints.



Bytes Entropy [1/2]

 Metric used to measure how bytes are distributed: the larger the entropy, the greater the uncertainty in predicting the value of an observation.



https://csrc.nist.gov/csrc/media/publications/sp/800-90b/draft/documents/draft-sp800-90b.pdf

Bytes Entropy [2/2]

- Entropy of raw data before and after encryption (TLS) changes but is it within limited boundaries for homogeneous data.
- Useful to set boundaries on typical protocol entropy and "guess" (up to some extent) the nature of information being exchanged.

Payload	Entropy	Distribu	ution
---------	---------	----------	-------

Byte Entropy	DNS	TLS	NetFlow
Average	4.285	7.789	4.079
Std Dev	0.272	0.231	0.533



Malware Analysis: Trickbot [1/2]

- See https://unit42.paloaltonetworks.com/wiresharktutorial-examining-trickbot-infections/
- ndpiReader -J -v2 -i 2019-09-25-Trickbot-gtagono19-infection-traffic.pcap
- Many TLS flows on non-standard ports, self-signed

TCP 10.9.25.101:49184 <-> 187.58.56.26:449 [byte_dist_mean: 124.148883][byte_dist_std: 58.169660] [entropy: 5.892724][total_entropy: 7124.302784][score: 0.9973][proto: 91/TLS][cat: Web/5][97 pkts/36053 bytes <-> 159 pkts/149429 bytes][Goodput ratio: 85/94][111.31 sec][bytes ratio: -0.611 (Download)][IAT c2s/s2c min/avg/max/stddev: 0/0 1129/662 19127/19233 2990/2294][Pkt Len c2s/s2c min/avg/max/stddev: 54/54 372/940 1514/1514 530/631][Risk: ** Self-signed Certificate **** Obsolete TLS version (< 1.1) **] [TLSv1][JA3S: 623de93db17d313345d7ea481e7443cf][Issuer: C=AU, ST=Some-State, 0=Internet Widgits Pty Ltd] [Subject: C=AU, ST=Some-State, 0=Internet Widgits Pty Ltd][Certificate SHA-1: DD:EB:4A:36:6A:2B:50:DA: 5F:B5:DB:07:55:9A:92:B0:A3:52:5C:AD][Validity: 2019-07-23 10:32:39 - 2020-07-22 10:32:39][Cipher: TLS_ECDHE_RSA_WITH_AES_256_CBC_SHA]

TCP 10.9.25.101:49165 <-> 144.91.69.195:80 [byte_dist_mean: 95.694525][byte_dist_std: 25.418150] [entropy: 0.00000][total_entropy: 0.000000][score: 0.9943][proto: 7/HTTP][cat: Web/5][203 pkts/11127 bytes <-> 500 pkts/706336 bytes][Goodput ratio: 1/96][5.18 sec][Host: 144.91.69.195][bytes ratio: -0.969 (Download)][IAT c2s/s2c min/avg/max/stddev: 0/0 23/9 319/365 49/37][Pkt Len c2s/s2c min/avg/max/stddev: 54/54 55/1413 207/1514 11/134][URL: 144.91.69.195/solar.php[StatusCode: 200][ContentType: application/ octet-stream][UserAgent: pwtyyEKzNtGatwnJjmCcBLbOveCVpc][Risk: ** Binary application transfer **][PLAIN TEXT (GET /solar.php HTTP/1.1)]



Malware Analysis: Trickbot [2/2]

•Same packet sequence, same packet len and time distribution (using bins to detect similarities), same entropy...

00:08:02:1C:47:AE|20:E5:2A:B6:93:F1|0|0|0|10.9.25.101|5.53.125.13|49469|447|::|::|4|6|91|971|10|2732|11| 1589100502|1589100502|27|27|0.002|0.021|0.024|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|||||3,1,1,1,1,1,1|8,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0|2.406|0.000| 00:08:02:1C:47:AE|20:E5:2A:B6:93:F1|0|0|0|10.9.25.101|185.90.61.116|49482|447|::|::|4|6|91|931|9|2692|10| 1589100502|1589100502|27|27|0.002|0.025|0.026|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|0|||||3,1,1,1,1,1,1|8,0,0,0,0,0,0,0|2.406|0.000| 00:08:02:1C:47:AE|20:E5:2A:B6:93:F1|0|0|0|10.9.25.101|195.123.221.104|49498|447|::|::|4|6|91|979|9|2692|10| 00:08:02:1C:47:AE|20:E5:2A:B6:93:F1|0|0|0|10.9.25.101|195.123.221.178|49515|447|::|::|4|6|91|947|9|2692|10| 1589100502 1589100502 27 27 0.002 0.024 0.026 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2, 406 0.000

https://github.com/ntop/nDPI

